

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Ŷ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/761,601 | 01/20/2004 | Pierre Liu | 2011134 | 4267 |
| 7590 02/07/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Keith Kline | | | BUI, HUNG S | |
| PRO-TECHTOR INTERNATIONAL SERVICES | | | | |
| 20775 Norada Court | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Saratoga, CA 95070-3018 | | | 2841 | |

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/761,601 | LIU ET AL. | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Hung S. Bui | 2841 | |
| Period for | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | ppears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address - | |
| A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re | PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING It ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturally received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ ⁻ 3)□ \$ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 I</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This ince this application is in condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro | | |
| Dispositio | on of Claims | | | |
| 5)□ 0 6)⊠ 0 7)□ 0 | Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applica a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | | |
| Application | n Papers | | | |
| 10)⊠ T | The specification is objected to by the Examinative drawing(s) filed on <u>01/20/2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination. | ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| | of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | |
| 3) Inform | of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 5, the phrase "like a thumb shape" is not further limiting. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art shown in figure 1 (AAPA, hereinafter) in view of Corisis et al. [US 6,462,273].

Regarding claim 1, The AAPA discloses a small memory card to be set in an electric device (figure 1), comprising a substrate (10) having a placed region (14), a first terminal and a second terminal (figure 1) which placed on both side, at least one IC package mounted thereon the substrate, the first terminal is formed with a plurality of golden fingers (20) so that the golden fingers can connect with the electric device when it is inserted therein the electric device.

The AAPA discloses the instant claimed invention except for a pull slot being formed on the second terminal of the substrate.

Corisis et al. disclose a memory card (figures 1a-d) having a first terminal and a second terminal which placed both side, wherein the memory card has an arc/thumb shaped pull slot (50, column 5, lines 35-38) being formed on the second terminal (figure 1a and 1d).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the pull slot design of Corisis et al. for the small memory card of the AAPA, for the purpose of enabling access the small by fingers.

Regarding claim 2, AAPA discloses the first terminal of the substrate being formed with a gap (figure 1).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11-21-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues:

1]: neither Hsieh or Chen discloses a transparent layer on a memory chip and display the mark of memory chip; and

2]: there is not motivation to combine applicant's admitted prior art with to form the claimed invention.

Examiner disagrees:

Regarding 1: Applicant has not claimed, nor has examiner considered, a transparent layer on a memory chip and display the mark of memory chip.

Regarding 2: In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine '864 (assumed by examiner to be '273) with applicant's admitted prior art, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Corisis et al. discloses the use of a arc shaped pull slot 50. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to use the pull slot of Corisis et al. with applicant's admitted prior art in order to facilitate removal of the card from its slot.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/761,601 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hung S. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1/24/06

Hung Bui

Art Unit 2841

SUPERVISORY FATENT EVANNINER

KAWAND GRINES